

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2655 1FT

(Sprint Docket No. 1730) MBHB Case No. 01-975

4. A.	MBHB Cas	se No. 01-975
	plication of:)
	Packingham et al.) Examiner: M. Sked
Serial N	o.: 09/964,117) Group Art Unit No.: 2655
Filed:	September 26, 2001)
t	Method and System for Enhanced Responsion Voice Commands in a Voice Commands at International Platform	· ·

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In regard to the above-identified patent application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - 1. Response to May 16, 2005 Office Action
 - 2. Return Postcard
- 2. With respect to additional fees:
 - A. X No additional fee is required.
 - B. ___ Attached is a check in the amount of \$__
 - C. Charge the total additional fee to Deposit Account No.
- Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayments to the Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- 4. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 (FIRST CLASS MAIL): The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the document(s) as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this /3 day of June 2005.

By:

Thomas A. Fairhall Reg. No. 34,591



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Sprint Docket 1730 MBHB Case No. 01-975

In the Application of:		PAIENI
ni tile Ap	phoadon of.)
K	evin Packingham et al.) Group Art Unit - 2655
Serial No	.: 09/964,117) Examiner M. Sked
Filed:	September 26, 2001)
R	ETHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ENHANCED ESPONSE TO VOICE COMMANDS IN A OICE COMMAND PLATFORM)))

RESPONSE TO MAY 16, 2005 OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is submitted in response to the office action mailed May 16, 2005 in the above matter.

No claim amendments are presented. Applicants rely on the following arguments to overcome the rejection.

REMARKS

Obviousness rejection of claims 3-13

Claims 3-13 stand rejected over Alshawi, US Patent application publication 2002/0072914, in view of Cohen, US Patent application publication 2002/0164000.

Claims 3 and 9 are the independent claims. Claim 3 recites as follows:

3. A voice command system comprising: